

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO:	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/414,547	10/08/1999	TOKIMORI TOMITA	122.1046-D/G	3462
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 01/28/2008	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			KARMIS, STEFANOS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3693	
No.			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/414,547	TOMITA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	STEFANOS KARMIS	3693
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Octoor</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice under	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 97,98 and 100 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 97, 98, 100 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed onis/ are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

The following communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 25 October
 2007.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 97, 98 and 100 are currently amended. Claims 1-96 and 99 are cancelled.

Therefore claims 97, 98 and 100 are currently pending.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 97, 98 and 100 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 10 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,965,869.

Art Unit: 3693

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to calculate the amount of points using a calculation rate when issuing points. Furthermore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that points issued based on customer transactions could be based on the purchase amount. For these reasons the claims of the pending applications are not patently distinct from U.S. Patent No. 6,965,869.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 97, 98 and 100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz et al. (hereinafter Schultz) U.S. Patent 5,056,019 in view of Deaton et al. (hereinafter Deaton) U.S. Patent 5,201,010 in further view of Nichtberger et al. (hereinafter Nichtberger) U.S. Patent 4,882,675.

Regarding claims 97, 98 and 100, Shultz teaches a method for managing points issued according to transactions under identification of a customer in a system connected to a terminal via a communication link, comprising;

Identifying said customer according to customer identification information obtained from said terminal (column 9, lines 25-38 and lines 66 thru column 10, line 6; Examiner notes that the

Art Unit: 3693

customer is identified by using their bar-coded identification code to be scanned by the in-store computer);

Issuing points according to said transactions under said identification (column 6, lines 5-27; Examiner notes that the manufacturer awards the consumer with points for each purchase of a particular products and that a gift is earned when a particular number of points is attained by the customer);

Managing said points of said customer by calculating a balance point of said customer according to transactions performed by said customer (column 6, lines 5-12; Examiner notes that the manufacturer awards the consumer with points for each purchase of a particular products and that a gift is earned when a particular number of points is attained by the customer and column 10, lines 20-28; Examiner notes that the central management system determines the consumer's earned rewards, page 10, paragraph 20-28);

Schultz further teaches that the in-store computer comprises a databank for storing a plurality of files including customer files containing information related to consumers participating in frequent shopper program and reward files containing information related to the purchase reward offers (column 9, lines 47-53).

Schultz teaches sending point balance to customer via a status report that comes in the mail, the status report containing information about reward amounts and different types of reward certificates, such as negotiable check, or a voucher to be redeemed at a participating store for cash or credit towards product purchases (column 8, lines 48-67).

Schultz fails to teach that the point balance is sent to the terminal after the customer identification.

Art Unit: 3693

Deaton teaches dissemination of Point-Of-Sale coupons that are earned based on previous transactions and shopping history of the customer (column 67, lines 56 thru column 68, line 12; Examiner notes that the coupons are analogous to the reward certificates taught in Schultz). Deaton teaches using a checking account ID to identify the customer at the point of sale (column 68, lines 13-67, see steps 6-13). Deaton further teaches that the coupon reward and other incentives are made at the point of sale terminal and applied to the current purchase (column 69, lines 46 thru column 70, line 46).

Nichtberger teaches a paperless system for distributing, redeeming and clearing merchandise coupons in which a customer presents his special card before the checkout process begins and the in-store computer unit receives the customer's coupons from the CDR and applies the coupons to items as they are being purchased before totaling the purchase amount (column 17, lines 30-61).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Schultz to include having the customer access their reward coupons as items are being purchased (before the purchase total) as taught by Deaton and Nichtberger because it allows the customer to take advantage of earned coupons/rewards through their shopping history (previous transactions) at the point of sale when items are being purchased rather than having to make another trip back to the store or having to remember to bring the physical coupon/reward. There is sufficient motivation combine the teachings of Schultz with Deaton and Nichtberger because the reward certificates taught by Schultz act as earned coupons that can be applied for a discount or free gift. Schultz teaches that

Art Unit: 3693,

the reward certificate can be a voucher to be redeemed at the store for credit towards product purchases and is therefore applied similarly to the coupons taught by Deaton and Nichtberger.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 97, 98 and 100 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Examiner assets that Deaton makes up for any lack of teachings of Schultz in view of Nichtberger, because Deaton teaches reward coupons earned through previous purchases, which are redeemable at the point of sale. The ability to see all earned reward coupons, allows the consumer to see the balance of his earned rewards.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEFANOS KARMIS whose telephone number is (571)272-6744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/414,547 Page 7

Art Unit: 3693

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Respectfully Submitted

Stefanos Karmis

22 January 2008